UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/506,664	05/02/2005	Andrzej Czernecki	POL0005-PCT	5014
PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP P.O. BOX 919092			EXAMINER TOWA, RENE T	
			3736	
		<u> </u>		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MO	NTHS	03/09/2007	PAP	ER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/506,664	CZERNECKI ET AL	. .
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rene Towa	3736	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 111	<u>December 2006</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	•		merits is
Disposition of Claims		, 100 0.0. 210.	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,4 and 8-19</u> is/are pending in the a	oplication		•
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,4 and 8-19</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	,	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTC)-152 .
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price			stage
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		_
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not	received.	
		•	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
 2) Ποτιτές of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) 3) Π Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 		Informal Patent Application (PTO-	152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	<u>_</u> ·	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/506,664 Page 2

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office is responsive to an amendment filed December 11, 2006. Claims 3-4 & 8-19 are pending. Claims 8-19 are amended. No new claim has been added. Claims 1-2 and 5-7 are cancelled.

Claim Objections

2. The objections are withdrawn due to amendments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 12 & 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rutynowski et al. (US Patent No. 6,613,064) in view of Simons et al. (US Patent No. 5,871,494).

In regards to claim 12, Rutynowski et al. disclose a puncturing device for regulating force of puncture comprising:

a sleeve 1 having a first end and second end, and defining a sleeve axis; a push element 2 located at the first end of the sleeve 1;

a piston 5 slidably mounted within the sleeve 1, the piston 5 having a wing 12 configured to rest on an edge of the sleeve 1 and prevent the piston 5 from sliding through the sleeve 1, and the piston 5 having a puncturing tip 8 on a side of the piston 5 opposite to the first end of the sleeve 1; and

Application/Control Number: 10/506,664

Art Unit: 3736

a drive spring 10 within the sleeve 1 and compressed between the push element 2 and the piston 5, and

the drive spring 10 being compressed until the push element 2 presses the piston 5 sufficiently enough to break the wing 12, at which point the drive spring 10 expands and drives the piston 5 toward the second end of the sleeve 1 (see figs. 1-2 & 4; column 2/lines 1-7 & 11-22; see claim 3 of Rutynowski et al.).

In regards to claim 17, Rutynowski et al. disclose a device wherein the wing 12 configured to rest on an upper edge 13 of the sleeve 1 (see fig. 4).

In regards to claim 18, Rutynowski et al. disclose a device wherein the piston 5 having a second wing 12 configured to rest on an edge 13 of the sleeve 1 (see fig. 4).

In regards to claim 19, Rutynowski et al. disclose a device wherein the piston comprising a central body 5, a push rod 6 on a side of the central body proximate to the first end of the sleeve 1, and a fin 7 on a side of the central body proximate the second end of the sleeve 1, wherein the push element 2 presses on the push rod (see figs. 1-2 & 4).

Rutynowski et al. disclose a device, as described above, that teaches all the limitations of the claims except Rutynowski et al. do not disclose a force-adjusting member.

However, Simons et al. disclose a device 500 comprising a force-adjusting member (502, 528) turnably mounted on the device body 526; wherein the force

Application/Control Number: 10/506,664

Art Unit: 3736

adjusting member (502, 528) adjusts a distance within which the spring 532 is compressed (see figs. 5A-B & 6A-F; column 4/lines 8-20; column 11/lines 39-52; column 12/lines 55-64).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicant's invention was made to provide a device similar to that of Rutynowski et al. with a force-adjusting member similar to that of Simons et al. in order to customize the lancing force to provide an adequate blood sample and to minimize pain (see Simons et al., column 4/lines 8-11).

5. Claims 3-4, 8-11 & 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rutynowski et al. ('064) in view of Simons et al. ('494) further in view of Chelak et al. (US Patent No. 6,558,402).

Rutynowski et al. as modified by Simons et al. discloses a device, as described above, that teaches all the limitations of the claim except Rutynowski et al. as modified by Simons et al. do not teach a force-adjusting member comprising an inwardly directed pair of oblique half-ring, or stair-shaped or gradient shaped member.

However, Chelak et al. disclose a device comprising a stair-shaped or gradient shaped member 106 (see fig. 3D).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time

Applicant's invention was made to provide a device similar to that of except Rutynowski et al. as modified by Simons et al. with a half ring, stair-shaped or gradient member similar to that of Chelak et al. since such a modification would amount to a design choice that serves the same purpose of varying the pre-load (i.e. perhaps by

Application/Control Number: 10/506,664

Art Unit: 3736

modifying the trigger system of Simons et al. to include a stair or gradient shaped member instead). Moreover, it has previously been held that merely changing the shape (i.e. shape of the trigger) is not patentable--See In re Seid, 161 F.2d 229, 231, 73 USPQ 431, 433 (CCPA 1947).

Even moreover, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicant's invention was made to provide a device similar to that of Rutynowski et al. as modified by Simons et al. and Chelak et al., above, with a force-adjusting member mounted at a proximal end thereof since such a modification would amount to a design choice. It has previously been held that merely shifting location of parts is not patentable--See In re Japikse, 181 F. 2d 1019, 1023, 86 USPQ 70, 73 (CCPA 1950).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed December 11, 2006 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rene Towa whose telephone number is (571) 272-8758. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:00-16:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on (571) 272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/506,664 Page 6

Art Unit: 3736

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RTT